WEIGHTED ROOTED TREES AND DEFORMATIONS OF OPERADS

ABDELLATIF SAÏDI

Abstract. We will define an operad $\mathcal{B}^0$ on planar rooted trees. $\mathcal{B}^0$ is analgous to the NAP-operad in the non-planar tree setting. We will define a family of "current-preserving" operads $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ depending on a scalar parameter λ, which can be seen as a deformation of the operad $\mathcal{B}^0$. Forgetting the extra "current-preserving" notion above gives back the Brace operad for $\lambda = 1$ and the $\mathcal{B}^0$ operad for $\lambda = 0$. A natural map from non-planar rooted trees to planar ones gives back the current-preserving interpolation between NAP and pre-Lie investigated in a previous article [11].

arXiv:1405.6854v1 [math.QA] 27 May 2014

Keywords: Operads, pre-Lie operad, NAP operad, Brace operad, trees, deformations.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C05, 16W30, 18D50.

Contents

1. Background on operads 1
2. A description of Pre Lie and Brace operads 2
2.1. Rooted trees and planar rooted trees 2
2.2. The pre-Lie operad 3
2.3. The Brace operad 3
3. A description of the NAP-operad and its counterpart in the planar rooted trees setting 4
3.1. The NAP operad 4
3.2. An operad of planar rooted trees analogous to NAP 4
4. The notion of current-preserving operads 5
4.1. Structure of current-preserving operads 5
4.2. Current-preserving operads associated to ordinary operads 6
5. A family of current-preserving operads 6
5.1. Interpolation between NAP and Pre-Lie 6
5.2. Interpolation between Brace and $\mathcal{B}^0$ 8
References 13

1. Background on operads

In this section, we review the material needed for this article. We refer to Ginzburg and Kapranov [6] or J. L. Loday [8]. Let K be a field of characteristic zero. An operad (in the symmetric monoidal category of k-vector spaces) is given by a collection of vector spaces $(\mathcal{O}(n)_{n\geq 0})$, a right action of the symmetric group S_n on $\mathcal{O}(n)$, and a collection of compositions:

Date: May 2014.

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \circ_i & : & \mathcal{O}(n)\otimes\mathcal{O}(m) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+m-1)\ i=1,\dots,n; \\ & & (a,b) & \longmapsto & a\circ_i b, \end{array}$$

with satisfy the following axioms:

- The two associativity conditions:

$$\begin{array}{rcll} a\circ_i(b\circ_j c) & = & (a\circ_i b)\circ_{i+j-1} c, & \forall i\in\{1,\dots,n\},\ \forall j\in\{1,\dots,m\} \\ (a\circ_i b)\circ_{m+j-1} c & = & (a\circ_j c)\circ_i b, & \forall i,j\in\{1,\dots,n\}, i<j, \end{array}$$

  called nested associativity and disjoint associativity respectively.
- The unit axiom: there exists an object $e\in\mathcal{O}(1)$ for which for any $a\in\mathcal{O}(n)$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} e\circ a & = & a, \\ a\circ_i e & = & a,\ \forall i\in\{1,\dots,n\} \end{array}$$

- The equivariance axiom:
  for any $\sigma\in S_n,\ \tau\in S_m$, we have:

$$a.\sigma\circ_{\sigma(i)} b.\tau = (a\circ_i b).\rho(\sigma,\tau),$$

  where $\rho(\sigma,\tau)\in S_{n+m-1}$ is defined by letting τ permute the set $E_i=\{i,i+1,\cdots,i+m-1\}$ of cardinality m, and then by letting σ permute the set $\{1,\cdots,i-1,Ei,i+m,\cdots,m+n-1\}$ of cardinality n.

Example 1. *Any vector space V yields an operad End_V with $End_V(n)=Hom(V^{\otimes n},V)$ where:*

$$(f\circ_i g)\big(a_1,\dots,a_{n+m-1}\big)=f\big(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1},g(a_i,\dots,a_{i+m-1}),a_{i+m},\dots,a_{n+m-1}\big)$$

An algebra over an operad $\mathcal{O}$, or $\mathcal{O}$-algebra, is a vector space V together with an operad morphism from $\mathcal{O}$ to End_V. This is equivalent to giving linear maps

$$\mathcal{O}(n)\otimes_{S_n} V^{\otimes n}\to V,$$

satisfying associativity conditions with respect to the compositions.
An important point in the theory of operad is the following theorem :

Theorem 1. [10, Chapter 5, sect 5.7.1]. *The free $\mathcal{O}$-algebra generated by V is the space $\mathcal{O}(V)=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{O}(n)\otimes_{S_n} V^{\otimes n}$.*

In the remainder of this article, we describe operads by species formalism, i.e: we replace the set $\{1,2,...,n\}$ by any finite set A of cardinal n. For more details see sections 2 and 3 of [11].

2. A description of Pre Lie and Brace operads

2.1. Rooted trees and planar rooted trees.

- A rooted tree T is a finite graph, without loops, with a special vertex called the root of T. The set of rooted trees will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}$. Let D be a nonempty set. A rooted tree decorated by D is a rooted tree with an application from the set of its vertices into D. The set of rooted trees decorated by D will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}^D$. Following the notation of Connes and Kreimer [4], any tree T writes $T=B_+(r,T_1\cdots T_k)$ where r is the root (or the decoration of the root) and $T_1,\dots,T_k$ are trees. So we have

$$B_+(r,T_1\cdots T_k)=B_+(r,T_{\sigma(1)}\cdots T_{\sigma(k)})\ \forall\sigma\in S_k.$$

The vector space spanned by $\mathcal{T}^D$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{RT}^D$. We denote by $\mathcal{RT}$ the species of rooted trees: for any finite set A the vector space $\mathcal{RT}(A)$ is spanned by the rooted trees with $|A|$ vertices, together with a bijection from the set of vertices onto A.

- A planar rooted tree T is a rooted tree with an embedding into the plane. The set of planar rooted trees will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_P$. Let D be a nonempty set. A planar rooted tree decorated by D is a planar tree with an application from the set of its vertices into D. The set of planar rooted trees decorated by D will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_P^D$. If $T_1 \neq T_2$, then:

$$B_+(r, T_1T_2\cdots T_k) \neq B_+(r, T_2T_1\cdots T_k).$$

We draw the planar tree in the disk:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; y > 0 \text{ and } x^2 + y^2 < 1\} \ ,$$

but the root is drawn in $x = y = 0$.

The vector space spanned by $\mathcal{T}_P^D$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{PRT}^D$.We denote by $\mathcal{PRT}$ the species of planar rooted trees: for any finite set A the vector space $\mathcal{PRT}(A)$ is spanned by the rooted trees with $|A|$ vertices, together with a bijection from the set of vertices onto A.

2.2. **The pre-Lie operad.** We describe the pre-Lie operad in terms of non-planar labelled rooted trees, following [3]. Let A and B be two finite sets. Let $v \in A$. We define the partial composition $\circ_v : \mathcal{RT}(A) \otimes \mathcal{RT}(B) \to \mathcal{RT}\big((A - \{v\}) \amalg B\big)$, as follows:

$$T \circ_v S = \sum_{f : E(T,v) \to B} T \circ_v^f S, \tag{1}$$

where $T \circ_v^f S$ is the tree of $\mathcal{RT}((A - \{v\}) \amalg B\big)$ obtained by replacing the vertex v of T by the tree S and connecting each edge a in $E(T, v)$ at the vertex $f(a)$ of S. If v is not the root of T, the edge going down from v is now going down from the root of S. The root of the new tree is the root of T if it is different from vertex v, and of S else (see details in [3]). The unit is the tree with a single vertex. These partial compositions define an operad which is the pre-Lie operad.

2.3. **The Brace operad.** We describe the brace operad by the planar labelled rooted trees (for more details see [1]). Let T be a labelled planar rooted tree. Let s be a vertex of T. Let $B_e(s)$ be a little disk of center s. The pair (s, α) is called an angle of T if α is a connected component of $B_e(s) \bigcap (\mathcal{D}_+ \backslash T)$. We denote by $Ang(T)$ the set of angles of T. Naturally, from left to right we set a total order on $Ang(T)$ as follows: considering an angle as a direction from a vertex, one can draw a path from every angle to a point of the upper part of the unit circle. We order then these points clockwise.

Example 2.



– – –– Angles of of planar tree.

Let T, S be labelled planar rooted trees. Let v be a vertex of T. We denote by $E(T, v)$ the totally ordered set (from left to right) of the incoming edges on v. We can consider the set of increasing functions from $E(T, v)$ to $Ang(S)$. We define

$$T \diamond_v S = \sum_{f:E(T,v)\to Ang(S)} T \diamond_v^f S, \tag{2}$$

where f is an increasing function and $T \diamond_v^f S$ is the planar tree obtained by substitution of S on vertex v of T, plugging the incoming edges on S according to map f.
These partial compositions defined above, define a structure of an operad which is the Brace operad [1].

3. A description of the NAP-operad and its counterpart in the planar rooted trees setting

3.1. **The NAP operad.** we describe the NAP operad by the non-planar labelled rooted trees [7]. We define the partial compositions $\circ_v : \mathcal{RT}(A) \otimes \mathcal{RT}(B) \to \mathcal{RT}\big((A - \{v\}) \amalg B\big)$, as follows:

$$T \circ_v S = T \circ_v^{f_0} S, \tag{3}$$

where $T \circ_v^{f_0} S$ is the labelled rooted tree of $\mathcal{RT}\big((A - \{v\}) \amalg B\big)$ obtained by replacing the vertex v of T by the tree S and connecting each edge a in $E(T, v)$ at the root of S. The unit is the tree with a single vertex.

3.2. **An operad of planar rooted trees analogous to NAP.** In this section, we describe an operad of planar labelled rooted trees. This operad is the planar analogue of NAP.
We define the partial compositions $\diamond_v : \mathcal{PRT}(A) \otimes \mathcal{PRT}(B) \to \mathcal{PRT}\big((A - \{v\}) \amalg B\big)$, as follows:

$$T \diamond_v S = \sum_{f_0:E(T,v)\to Ang^0(S)} T \diamond_v^f S, \tag{4}$$

where $Ang^0(S)$ is the set of angles starting from the root of S and f_0 is an increasing function from $E(T, v)$ to $Ang^0(S)$.

Proposition 2. *The partial compositions introduced above define a structure of an operad. We will denote this operad by $\mathcal{B}^0$. The unit is the tree with a single vertex.*

Proof. We easily verify the unity, associativity and equivariance axioms. We omit the proof, as we will give a proof of a more general result later on. □

Definition 1. *We will denote the symmetrization operator of trees by φ from the space of non-planar labelled rooted trees to the space of planar labelled rooted trees, by induction we define φ:* $\varphi(\bullet) = \bullet$ *and if* $T = B_+(r, T_1 \cdots T_k)$, *then*

$$\varphi(T) = B_+\big(r, \varphi(T_1) \sqcup \varphi(T_2) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \varphi(T_k)\big),$$

where $\sqcup$ is the shuffle product.

i.e: $\varphi(T)$ is the sum of all planar representations of T.

Theorem 3. *φ is a morphism of operads from Pre-Lie to Brace* [1]. *Similarly φ is a morphism of operads from NAP to $\mathcal{B}^0$.*

Proof. The first assertion is proved by F. Chapoton [1, Prop 4], the second assertion follows immediately by considering only the terms of minimal potential energy (see Definition 4 below). □

Definition 2. *We define*

$$T \star S = \Big(\begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ v \end{array} \Big) \diamond_v T) \diamond_w S. \tag{5}$$

Equivalently, if $T = B_+(r, T_1 \dots T_n)$ then

$$T \star S = \sum_{i=0}^{n} B_+(r, T_1 \dots T_i S T_{i+1} \dots T_n).$$

Proposition 4. *The space $(\mathcal{T}_P^D, \star)$ is a right non-associative permutative algebra* [5]. *i.e: for any planar rooted trees T, S, U, we have:*

$$(T \star S) \star U = (T \star U) \star S$$

4. The notion of current-preserving operads

4.1. **Structure of current-preserving operads.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an operad and G be a commutative semigroup, with additively denoted binary law. We say that $\mathcal{O}$ has a structure of G-current-preserving operad, if moreover $\mathcal{O}_A = \prod \mathcal{O}_{A,W},\ W : A \to G$ where :

- The right action of the symmetric group $AutA$ verifies:

$$\mathcal{O}_{A,W}.\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{A,W\circ\sigma},\ \forall \sigma \in AutA.$$

- For any finite sets A, B and $v \in A$, we have:

$$\circ_v : \mathcal{O}_{A,W} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B,X} \to \mathcal{O}_{A-\{v\} \amalg B, W \amalg X},$$

with image zero if $\sum_{b\in B} X(b) \neq W(v)$. Here, $W \amalg X$ is defined by: $W \amalg X(a) = W(a), \forall a \in A$, and $W \amalg X(b) = X(b),\ \forall b \in B$.

Example 3. *The model of current-preserving operads is the operad $Endop(V)$ where V is a G-graded vector space* [11]. *Current-preserving operads are colored operads, with an extra structure given by semigroup law on the set of colors.*

4.2. **Current-preserving operads associated to ordinary operads.** Given an operad $\mathcal{O}$ and any commutative semigroup G, we define a G-current-preserving operad $\mathcal{O}^G$ as follows: for any finite set A, we have:

$$\mathcal{O}_A^G := \prod_{W:A\to G} \mathcal{O}_{A,W},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{A,W}$ is nothing but a copy of $\mathcal{O}_A$. The partial compositions of $(\alpha, W) \in \mathcal{O}_{A,W}$ and $(\beta, X) \in \mathcal{O}_{B,X}$ are defined for any $a \in A$ by:

$$(\alpha, W) \circ_a^G (\beta, X) = (\alpha \circ_a \beta, W \amalg X),$$

if $\sum_{b\in B} X(b) = W(a)$, and $(\alpha, W) \circ_a^G (\beta, X) = 0$ if $\sum_{b\in B} X(b) \neq W(a)$. There is a natural morphism of operads (in the ordinary sense) $\phi^G : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}^G$ given for any finite set A and for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_A$ by:

$$\phi^G(\alpha) := \sum_{W:A\to G} (\alpha, W).$$

The algebras on $\mathcal{O}^G$ are nothing but G-graded algebras on $\mathcal{O}$. The morphism of operads ϕ simply reflects the forgetful functor from G-graded $\mathcal{O}$-algebras to $\mathcal{O}$-algebras. Hence, any ordinary operad gives rise to a G-current-preserving operad naturally associated with it.

5. A family of current-preserving operads

5.1. **Interpolation between NAP and Pre-Lie.** We give here a family of $\mathbb{N}^*$-current-preserving operads $(\mathcal{O}^\lambda)_{\lambda\in K}$, where $\mathbb{N}^*$ is the additive semi-group $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ of positive integers. We have shown that this family interpolates between the $\mathbb{N}^*$-current-preserving version of the NAP operad and the $\mathbb{N}^*$-current-preserving version of the pre-Lie operad (see details in [11]).

Definition 3. *We introduce non-planar rooted trees with weights on their vertices:*

For a non-planar rooted tree T and a weight function $W : v \mapsto W(v) \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we define the weight of (T, W) by:

$$|T| = \sum_{v\in v(T)} W(v), \tag{6}$$

where $v(T)$ denotes the set of vertices of T. Sometimes we will also use the notation $|v|$ instead of $W(v)$.

Example 4.  *are the non-planar rooted trees with weight less or equal to* 3.

We draw non-planar rooted trees with labels and numbers on their vertices, each number refers to the weight of the vertex.

Definition 4. *We define the potential energy of a weighted non-planar rooted tree (T, W) by:*

$$d(T) = \sum_{v \in v(T)} W(v)h(v), \tag{7}$$

where $h(v)$ is the height of v in T, i.e. the distance from v to the root of T counting the number of edges.

This notion of potential energy matches the physical intution: if a branch of a tree is moved down, the potential energy decreases by a multiple of its weight.

For any finite set A, let $\mathcal{O}_A$ be the completed vector space spanned by the non-planar rooted trees with $|A|$ vertices of any weight, labellized by A. Namely:

$$\mathcal{O}_A := \prod_{W:A \to \mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{O}_{A,W}, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{A,W}$ is the vector space spanned by the non-planar rooted trees with $|A|$ vertices, labellized by A and with weight function W. For any weighted non-planar rooted tree $S \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and any vertex v of S, $E(S, v)$ denotes the set of edges of S arriving at the vertex v of S. Let B be another finite set and $T \in \mathcal{O}_B$ another weighted rooted tree with $|B|$ vertices. Let λ be an element of the field K. We define the partial compositions by:

$$S \circ_{v,\lambda} T = \begin{cases} \sum_{f:E(S,v)\to v(T)} \lambda^{d(S\circ_v^f T)-d(S\circ_v^{f_0} T)} S \circ_v^f T \text{ if } |T| = |v| \\ 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $S \circ_v^f T$ is the element of $\mathcal{O}_{(A-\{v\})\amalg B}$ obtained by replacing the vertex v by S and connecting each edge of $E(S, v)$ to its image by f in $v(T)$. Here f_0 is the map from $E(S, v)$ to $v(T)$ which sends each edge a of $E(S, v)$ to the root of T. The tree $S \circ_v^{f_0} T$ has therefore the smallest potential energy in the above sum. Unit is given by:

$$e = \sum_{n \geq 1} \textcircled{n} \quad ,$$

where $\textcircled{n}$ is the tree with one single vertex of weight n (this infinite sum makes sense as $\mathcal{O}_1$ is a direct product). The right action of the symmetric groups is given by permutation of the labels.

Example 5. *Let us consider* $S =$  *and* $T =$ *. Here letters* $a, b, c...$ *are labels of vertices, which are of weight* $1, 2$ *or* 3*. We have:*

$S \circ_{b,\lambda} T =$



$$f_0 : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} c & \to & e \\ d & \to & e \end{array} \right. \qquad f : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} c & \to & e \\ d & \to & h \end{array} \right. \qquad f : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} c & \to & h \\ d & \to & e \end{array} \right. \qquad f : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} c & \to & h \\ d & \to & h \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem 5. *The partial compositions defined above* [11] *yield a structure of* $\mathbb{N}^*$*-current-preserving operad on the species* $A \mapsto \mathcal{O}_A$*, denoted by* $\mathcal{O}^\lambda$*.*

Remark 6. *With the notations of Sec 4.2, the current-preserving operad* $\mathcal{O}^\lambda$ *is naturally associated with NAP for* $\lambda = 0$ *and with Pre-Lie for* $\lambda = 1$ [11]*.*

5.2. **Interpolation between Brace and** $\mathcal{B}^0$**.** We keep the notations of the sec. 5.1 but we replace non-planar rooted trees by planar rooted trees and $\mathcal{O}$ by $\mathcal{B}$.
Let A be a finite set. Let S be a weighted planar rooted tree with $|A|$ vertices. Let B another finite set and T another weighted planar rooted tree with $|B|$ vertices. Let λ be an element of the field K. We define the partial compositions:

$$S \diamond_{v,\lambda} T = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{f : E(S,v) \to \ \mathrm{Ang}(T)} \lambda^{d(S \diamond_v^f T) - d(S \diamond_v^{f_0} T)} S \diamond_v^f T \text{ if } \mid T| = |v| \\ 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $S \diamond_v^f T$ is the weighted planar rooted tree of $\mathcal{B}_{A - \{v\} \amalg B}$ obtained by replacing the vertex v by T and connecting each edge of $E(S, v)$ to its image by f in $Ang(T)$. Here, f_0 is any increasing map from $E(S, v)$ to $Ang^0(T)$. The trees $S \diamond_v^{f_0} T$ have, therefore, the smallest potential energy in the above sum.

Remark 7. f_0 *is not unique but the energy* $d(S \diamond_v^{f_0} T)$ *is the same for any* $f_0 : E(S, v) \to Ang^0(T)$*.*

Example 6. *Let us consider* $S =$  *and* $T =$ *. Here letters* $a, b, c...$ *are labels of vertices, which are of weight* $1, 2$ *or* 3*. We have:*

$S \diamond_{b,\lambda} T =$



Theorem 8. *The partial compositions defined above yield a structure of* $\mathbb{N}^*$*- current-preserving operad on the species* $A \longmapsto \mathcal{B}_A$*, denoted by* $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$*.*

Proof. We prove nested associativity first, and then disjoint associativity.

- **Nested associativity:**

  Let S, T, U be three weighted planar trees, let v be a vertex of S and w be a vertex of T such that $|T| = |v|$ and $|U| = |w|$.

  Show $(S \diamond_{v,\lambda} T) \diamond_{w,\lambda} U = S \diamond_{v,\lambda} (T \diamond_{w,\lambda} U)$ where v is a vertex of S and w a vertex of T.

  We have:

$$\begin{aligned}(S \diamond_{v,\lambda} T) \diamond_{w,\lambda} U &= \sum_{f:E(S,v)\to Ang(T)} \lambda^{d(S\diamond_v^f T)-d(S\diamond_v^{f_0} T)} (S \diamond_v^f T) \diamond_{w,\lambda} U \\ &= \sum_{f:E(S,v)\to Ang(T)} \sum_{g:E(S\diamond_v^f T,w)\to Ang(U)} \lambda^{d(S\diamond_v^f T)-d(S\diamond_v^{f_0} T)+d((S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^g U)-d((S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^{g_0} U)} (S \diamond_v^f T) \diamond_w^g U \\ &= \sum_{f:E(S,v)\to Ang(T)} \sum_{g:E(S\diamond_v^f T,w)\to Ang(U)} \lambda^{A(f,g)} (S \diamond_v^f T) \diamond_w^g U,\end{aligned}$$

  where:

$$A(f,g) = d(S \diamond_v^f T) - d(S \diamond_v^{f_0} T) + d\big((S \diamond_v^f T) \diamond_w^g U\big) - d\big((S \diamond_v^f T) \diamond_w^{g_0} U\big). \tag{9}$$

  Similarly we have:

$$\begin{aligned}S \diamond_{v,\lambda} (T \diamond_{w,\lambda} U) &= \sum_{\widetilde{g}:E(T,w):\to Ang(U)} \lambda^{d(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)-d(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0} U)} S \diamond_{v,\lambda} (T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U) \\ &= \sum_{\widetilde{f}:E(S,v)\to Ang(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)} \sum_{\widetilde{g}:E(T,w)\to Ang(U)} \lambda^{d(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)-d(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0} U)+d\left(S\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}}(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\right)-d\left(S\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0}(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\right)} S \diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}} (T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U) \\ &= \sum_{\widetilde{g}:E(T,w)\to Ang(U)} \sum_{\widetilde{f}:E(S,v)\to Ang(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)} \lambda^{B(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})} S \diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}} (T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U),\end{aligned}$$

where we have set:

$$B(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}) = d(T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U) - d(T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0} U) + d\big(S \diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}} (T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\big) - d\big(S \diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0} (T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\big). \tag{10}$$

In order to show $(S \diamond_{v,\lambda} T) \diamond_{w,\lambda} U = S \diamond_{v,\lambda} (T \diamond_{w,\lambda} U)$, we have to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 9. *There is a natural bijection $(f,g) \longmapsto (\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$ such that*

$$(S \diamond_v^f T) \diamond_w^g U = S \diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}} (T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U). \tag{11}$$

Proof. Let v be a vertex of S and w be a vertex of T such that $|T| = |v|$ and $|U| = |w|$. We denoted by $Ang_w(T)$ the set of angles of T issued from the vertex w. Let $f : E(S,v) \to Ang(T)$ and $g : E(S \circ_v^f T, w) \to Ang(U)$ be a two increasing functions. We look for $\widetilde{g} : E(T,w) \to Ang(U)$ and $\widetilde{f} : E(S,v) \to Ang(T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U) = Ang(U) \cup Ang(T)\backslash\{Ang_w(T)\}$ such that the equation (11) is checked.
Let e be an edge of T arriving at w, thus e is an edge of $S \diamond_v T$ arriving at w. We set $\tilde{g}(e) = g(e)$. Similarly we define $\widetilde{f}$ in a unique way:

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} \widetilde{f} & : & E(S,v) & \longrightarrow & Ang(T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U) = Ang(U) \cup Ang(T)\backslash\{Ang_w(T)\} \\ & & e & \longmapsto & \widetilde{f}(e) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f(e) & if \quad f(e) \notin Ang_w(T) \\ g(e) & if \quad f(e) \in Ang_w(T) \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

Conversely, we assume that we have the pair $(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$ and look for the pair (f,g) such that equation (11) is verified. We have $\widetilde{f} : E(S,v) \to Ang(U) \cup Ang(T)\backslash\{Ang_w(T)\}$ and $\widetilde{g} : E(T,w) \to Ang(U)$. We then define:

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} f & : & E(S,v) & \longrightarrow & Ang(T) \\ & & e & \longmapsto & f(e) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \widetilde{f}(e) & if \quad \widetilde{f}(e) \notin Ang(U) \\ w & if \quad \widetilde{f}(e) \in Ang(U) \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} g & : & E(S \diamond_v^f T, w) & \longrightarrow & Ang(U) \\ & & e & \longmapsto & g(e) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \widetilde{g}(e) & if \quad e \in T \\ \widetilde{f}(e) & if \quad e \in S \text{ and } f(e) \in Ang_w(T). \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5 (continued) : To show $(S \diamond_{v,\lambda} T) \diamond_{w,\lambda} U = S \diamond_{v,\lambda} (T \diamond_{w,\lambda} U)$, it remains to show the equality $A(f,g) = B(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$. We set:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A'(f,g) & = & A(f,g) + d((S \diamond_v^{f_0} T) \diamond_w^{g_0} U), \\ B'(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}) & = & B(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}) + d(S \diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0} (T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0} U)), \\ \epsilon(f) & = & d(S \diamond_v^f T) - d(S \diamond_v^{f_0} T), \\ \epsilon(\widetilde{g}) & = & d(T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U) - d(T \diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0} U). \end{array}$$

We have:

$$\epsilon(f) = \sum_{e \in E(S,v)} h\big(f(e)\big).|B_e|, \tag{12}$$

where $h\big(f(e)\big)$ is the distance between $f(e)$ and the root of T in the new tree $S\diamond_v^f T$ and $|B_e|$ is the weight of the branch above e. Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} d\big((S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^{g_0} U\big) - d\big((S\diamond_v^{f_0} T)\diamond_w^{g_0} U\big) &= \sum_{e\in E(S,v)} h\big(f(e)\big)\,|B_e| \\ &= \epsilon(f). \end{aligned}$$

Here g_0 is not involved because it was connected to the root of U, so $A'(f,g) = d\big((S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^g U\big)$. By the same computation with $\widetilde{g}$ instead of f we show $B'(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}) = d\big(S\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}}(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\big)$. So by Lemma 9 we have $A'(f,g) = B'(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$ that is to say $A(f,g) + d\big((S\diamond_v^{f_0} T)\diamond_w^{g_0} U\big) = B(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}) + d\big(S\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0}(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0} U)\big)$, which proves that $A(f,g) = B(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$ because by Lemma 9 we have $d\big((S\diamond_v^{f_0} T)\diamond_w^{g_0} U\big) = d\big(S\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0}(T\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0} U)\big)$.

- **Disjoint associativity:** let v,w be two disjoint vertices of S such that $|v| = |T|$ and $|w| = |U|$, show that:

$$(S\diamond_{v,\lambda} T)\diamond_{w,\lambda} U = (S\diamond_{w,\lambda} U)\diamond_{v,\lambda} T. \tag{13}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (S\diamond_{v,\lambda} T)\diamond_{w,\lambda} U &= \sum_{f:E(S,v)\to Ang(T)} \lambda^{d(S\diamond_v^f T)-d(S\diamond_v^{f_0}T)}(S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_{w,\lambda} U \\ &= \sum_{f:E(S,v)\to Ang(T)}\ \sum_{g:E(S\diamond_v^f T,w)\to Ang(U)} \lambda^{k(f)+d\left((S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^g U\right)-d\left((S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^{g_0} U\right)}(S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^g U \\ &= \sum_{f:E(S,v)\to Ang(T)}\ \sum_{g:E(S\diamond_v^f T,w)\to Ang(U)} \lambda^{C(f,g)}(S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^g U, \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} k(f) &= d(S\diamond_v^f T) - d(S\diamond_v^{f_0} T), \\ C(f,g) &= k(f) + d\big((s\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^g U\big) - d\big((S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^{g_0} U\big). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we find:

$$(S\diamond_{w,\lambda} U)\diamond_{v,\lambda} T = \sum_{\widetilde{g}:E(S,w)\to Ang(U)}\ \sum_{\widetilde{f}:E(S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}}U,v)\to Ang(T)} \lambda^{D(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})}(S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}} T,$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}) &= k(\widetilde{g}) + d\big((S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}} T\big) - d\big((S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0} T\big), \text{ with} \\ k(\widetilde{g}) &= d(S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U) - d(S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0} U). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (13), we need the following lemma:

Lemma 10. *We have a natural bijection $(f,g)\longmapsto(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$ such that*

$$(S\diamond_v^f T)\diamond_w^g U = (S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U)\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}} T. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Let $f : E(S,v)\to Ang(T)$ and $g : E(S\diamond_v^f T, w)\to Ang(U)$. We look for $\widetilde{g} : E(S,w)\to Ang(U)$ and $\widetilde{f} : E(S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}} U, v)\to Ang(T)$ such that the Equation (14) is verified. Let $\widetilde{g}$ be the restriction of g on the edges e from S and $\widetilde{f} = f$. Here $E(S\diamond_w^g U, v) = E(S,v)$ because the vertices v and w are disjoint. □

Proof of Theorem 5 (end): Thus to show disjoint associativity, it remains to show that for any pair (f,g) and $(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$ we have $C(f,g)=D(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$. We set $C'(f,g)=C(f,g)+d\big((S\diamond_v^{f_0}T)\diamond_w^{g_0}U\big)$ and $D'(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})=D(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})+d\big((S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0}U)\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0}T\big)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} k(\widetilde{g}) &= d(S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}}U)-d(S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0}U)\\ &= \sum_{e\in E(S,w)} h\big(\widetilde{g}(e)\big).|B_e|, \end{aligned}$$

where $h\big(\widetilde{g}(e)\big)$ is the distance between the root of U and $\widetilde{g}(e)$ in the new tree $S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}}U$. We also have:

$$d\big((S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}}U)\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0}T\big)-d\big((S\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}_0}U)\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}_0}T\big)=k(\widetilde{g})$$

because we changed the vertex v by a tree of the same weight, and because $\widetilde{f}_0$ is defined by grafting onto the root. This proves:

$$D'(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})=d\big((s\diamond_w^{\widetilde{g}}U)\diamond_v^{\widetilde{f}}T\big).$$

By Lemma 10 again, $C'(f,g)=D'(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$ and $C(f,g)=D(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$, which proves disjoint associativity.

The partial compositions defined on $\mathcal{O}^\lambda$ hence verify the axioms of a current-preserving operad. □

Remark 11. *With the notations of Sec 4.2, the current-preserving operad $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is naturally associated with $(\mathcal{B}^0)^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ for $\lambda=0$ and with $Brace^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ for $\lambda=1$.*

Theorem 12. *The map φ introduced in Definition 1 is a morphism of current-preserving operad from $\mathcal{O}^\lambda$ to $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ i.e:*

$$\varphi(S\circ_{v,\lambda}T)=\varphi(S)\diamond_{v,\lambda}\varphi(T).$$

Proof. By definition of partial compositions on planar trees and non-planar trees, and as the shuffle product permute the branches in all possible ways, then we verify that φ is a current-preserving operad morphism. The key point is the following: for any $f: E(S,v)\to v(T)$, choosing a planar representative of $S\circ_v^f T$ amounts to choosing planar representatives $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{T}$ of S,T respectively, together with an increasing map $\tilde{f}: E(\tilde{S},v)\to Ang(\tilde{T})$ above f, i.e such that the following diagram commutes :



□

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Dominique Manchon for valuable discussions and comments.

References

[1] F. Chapoton, *Un théorème de Cartier-Milnor-Moore-Quillen pour les bigèbres dendriformes et les algèbres braces*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra **168** (2002), 1–18.
math/0209104 (2002).

[2] F. Chapoton, *Operads and algebraic combinatorics of trees*, Sém. Loth. Combinatoire **58** (2008).

[3] F. Chapoton, M. Livernet, *Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2001), 395–408.

[4] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, *Hopf algebras, Renormalization and Noncommutative Geometry*, Comm. in Math. Phys. **199**, (1998), 203–242.

[5] L. Foissy, *Free brace algebras are free pre-Lie algebras*, Comm.Algebra. **38**, 3358-3369 (2010).

[6] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, *Koszul duality for operads*, Duke Math. J.**76** (1994), no. 1, 203-272.

[7] M. Livernet, *A rigidity theorem for pre-Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **207** , (2006), 1-18.

[8] J-L. Loday, *La renaissance des opérades*, Séminaire N. Bourbaki, 1994-1995, exp. n^0 792, p.47-74.

[9] J-L. Loday, J. D. Stasheff, A. A. Voronov, *Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences*, Contemporary mathematics 202, AMS (1997).

[10] J-L. Loday, B. Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 346, Springer, Heindelberg (2012).

[11] A. Saïdi, *The pre-Lie operad as a deformation of NAP*, J. Algebra. Appl Vol **13**, No **1**, (2014).

Faculty of sciences of Monastir 5019, Tunisia

Laboratoire Physique mathématiques, fonctions spétiales et applications, Sousse 4011, Tunisia
E-mail address: Abdellatif.Saidi@fsm.rnu.tn